

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,266	09/29/2005	Toshiro Akino	9694D-000025/US	3385
·	7590 10/19/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8910	)		O TOOLE, COLLEEN J	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/551,266		AKINO, TOSHIRO		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	COLLEEN O'TOOLE	2816		

	COLLEEN O'TOOLE	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance to	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	<del>(</del> ).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 27 CED 41 27 must be f	illad within two months	o of the data of			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal was filed on	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS  Output  Ou						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by			cause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(1				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	<del>-</del>	be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t la afana an an tha alata af filina a Nia	Alas of Ammaal will mad	. la a a sata na al			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	<del>-</del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to other evidence failed to other evidence failed to other evidence.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	·	` , ` ,				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		Š				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Jin teaches inherent parasitic lateral bipolar transistors in	the DTMOS circuit (page 1). Claim	n 1 does not recite the	details of a			
"hybrid mode" of the operation mode of the MOS transist that the lateral bipolar transistors are on (see well biasing		<u>ii/prip lateral bipolar t</u>	iansisior, i.e.			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	<del>-</del>					
13.  Other: .						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2816

/Lincoln Donovan/

/Lincoln Donovan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2816